

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/787,402	04/12/2001	Masahide Kawakami	108974	2534
25944 75	590 08/02/2005	EXAMINER		INER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			RAHMJOO, MANUCHER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2676	

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/787,402	KAWAKAMI, MASAHIDE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mike Rahmjoo	2676			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.	•			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	·				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	_	•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/787,402

Art Unit: 2676

.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 6 and 8- 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda (US Patent 5,900,860), in view of Nagai (US Patent 4,126,310) further in view of Murata (US Patent 6,348,923).

As per claims 1, 8, 9 and 16 Ueda teaches object determination means which determines part objects (regions I or II) within a predetermined area in the aggregate object as objects to be changed in display form (changing colors) when an impact (having the user point to a certain area and clicking for selection and selecting certain regions see for example column 5 lines 12-26) is applied to the aggregate object thereby simulating breakage (when regions I or II is selected, it would be discriminated from the remaining region O see fro example column 4 lines 61-66) of the aggregate object and the impacted position is included within the predetermined area see for example column 4 lines 44-51 and column 5 lines 12-26 and figure 4.

However, Ueda does not teach simulating breakage of the aggregate object where at least one part object physically separates from the aggregate object.

Nagai teaches simulating breakage of the aggregate object where at least one part object physically separates from the aggregate object see for example figures 4- 7 and the abstract.

It would have been made obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/787,402

Art Unit: 2676

invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Nagai into Ueda so that by entering the client's measurements (shape) into a mathematical formula the user's picture (image) can be morphed (modified) into a desired outcome and therefore produce a scientific and user friendly approach to data tabulation and analysis through which the user can see the resulting modifications see for example column 3 lines 43-53.

Ueda and Nagai do not teach image generation means which changes at least one of shape, position, rotation angle, direction, moving direction and moving speed of the part objects determined as objects to be changed and generates an image.

Murata teaches image generation means which changes at least one of shape, position, rotation angle, direction, moving direction and moving speed of the part objects determined as objects to be changed and generates an image see for example the abstract, column 5 lines 55- 61 and column 9 lines 24- 31 for the creation of a synchronized montage image and figures 1- 7 and 9 corresponding to image generation changing shape and position of part objects determined as objects to be changed.

It would have been made obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Murata into Ueda's modified device to point out to the changed or synthesized montage image data as corresponding to weight and height (size) see column 2 lines 44- 45 and column 5 lines 55- 61 and therefore observe the modifications after they are made.

As per claims 2 and 10 Ueda teaches an area in which the display form of the part objects is changed is determined in accordance with at least one of the magnitude of the impact, the direction of the impact 20 and the type of the aggregate object see for example column 4 lines 61-67 and column 5 lines 1-5.

As per claims 3 and 11 Ueda teaches an area in which the display form of the part objects is changed is randomly determined see for example column 5 lines 12- 25 and column 19 lines 13- 27 and column 20 lines 9- 15.

As per claims 4 and 12 Ueda teaches changing the display form of the part objects which are spaced more apart from the impacted position that changes at a later time than the display form of the part objects closer to the impact position see fro example column 16 lines 12- 25 and

Application/Control Number: 09/787,402 Page 4

Art Unit: 2676

column 19 lines 9- 27.

As per claims 5, 6, 13 and 14 Ueda teaches changing the part objects which have already been changed to a first display form to further change to a second display form after a given time period has elapsed see for example column 1 lines 20- 34 and column 5 lines 12- 25 and column 7 lines 59- 65.

Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda in view of Chernock et al (US Patent 6,229,524), hereinafter, Chernock.

As per claims 7 and 15 Ueda does not teach the aggregate object is formed by assembling the part objects having different shapes without any gaps.

However, Chernock teaches the aggregate object is formed by assembling the part objects having different shapes without any gaps see for example column 5 lines 55- 67 and figures 2 and 3.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Chernock into Ueda to navigate a cursor among the current hot spots (part objects) in a MMP (multi media presentation) and thus make a selection of a function associated with one of them see for example column 2 line 67 and column 3 lines 1-3.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,402

Art Unit: 2676

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Mike Rahmjoo whose telephone number is (571) 272- 7789. The examiner can normally

Inquiry

be reached on 6:30- 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Matthew Bella can be reached on (571) 272- 7778. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305- 4750.

Mike Rahmjoo

July 20, 2005

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Marker ( Bella

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600